



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/540,394	03/31/2000	William Alan Holder	END9-2000-0013-US1	2193
75	590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Blanche E Sch			WOOD, WI	ILLIAM H
Heslin & Rothenberg P C 5 Columbia Circle Albany, NY 12203-5160			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/540,394	HOLDER ET AL.				
Authory Motion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Wood	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	1				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-14,16-25 and 27-40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
	BEST AV	AILABLE COPY				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly added limitations "wherein the linkage service comprises an intermediary service routine between the calling program and the callee program" would require further consideration and very possibly further search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments were not persuasive. First, Applicant's phrasing of different register widths does not over come the rejections based upon the 370 and 390 systems, which clearly through differing addressing modes have differing register widths. Second, new limitations have been addressed above. All other arguments have been addressed in previous office action response..

JOHN CHAVIS

Jh Ch

PATENT EXAMINÉR

ARI UNIT 2124

BEST AVAILABLE COPY